

Panel Review Criteria at a Glance Native American/Native Hawaiian Museum Services Program

PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

- Is the project clearly explained?
- Is the need, problem, or challenge to be addressed clearly identified and supported by relevant evidence?
- Are the people who will benefit from the project clearly identified, and have they been involved in planning this project?
- Are the collections and/or records that are the focus of the project and their current condition described and quantified in sufficient detail, if applicable?
- Are the performance goals and intended results well formulated and achievable?
- Are the ways in which this project strengthens museum services specific, actionable, and measurable?

PROJECT WORK PLAN

- Are the proposed activities informed by appropriate theory and practice?
- Do the identified staff, partners, consultants, and service providers possess the experience and skills necessary to complete the work successfully?
- Is the schedule of work realistic and achievable?
- Are the time, personnel, and financial resources identified appropriate for the scope and scale of the project?
- Does the institution provide evidence of its capacity to carry out the project activities?
- Is the proposed evaluation methodology appropriate for project activities? Will it result in valid, reliable, and generalizable findings?
- Is a clear methodology described for tracking the project's progress and adjusting course when necessary?
- Is there an effective plan for communicating results and/or sharing discoveries?

PROJECT RESULTS

- Are the project's intended results and performance indicators clearly articulated, appropriate and realistic?
- Is the plan to effect meaningful change in knowledge, skills, behaviors, and/or attitudes solidly grounded and appropriately structured?
- Will the tangible products be useful?
- Is there a reasonable and practical plan for sustaining the benefits of the project beyond the conclusion of this grant?